



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/998,302 12/24/97 STANFIELD

J STE01-P798B

EXAMINER

TM02/0228

PRICE HENEVELD COOPER DEWITT & LITTON  
P O BOX 2567  
695 KENMOOR DRIVE S E  
GRAND RAPIDS MI 49501

ZIMMERMAN, B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2635

DATE MAILED:

02/28/01

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

MG-

<h2 style="margin: 0;">Interview Summary</h2>	Application No. <b>80/998,302</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Stanfield et al</b>
	Examiner <b>Michael Horabik</b>	Group Art Unit <b>2635</b>

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael Horabik, SPE (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Terry S. Callaghan, Applicant's Rep. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Feb 21, 2001

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed:  
N/A  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  
Mr. Horabik informed Mr. Callaghan that the petition to withdraw the restriction requirement has been treated as a  
request for reconsideration. the restriction requirement has been withdrawn and the case has been forwarded to the  
examiner for an office action on all of the claims of record in lieu of an Examiner's Answer.  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

**MICHAEL HORABIK**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.